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Abstract

Over the past decade, our understanding of the biology and pathophysiology of renal cell carcinoma (RCC) has improved significantly. Insight into the disease process has helped us in developing newer therapeutic approaches toward RCC. In this article, we review the various genetic and immune-related mechanisms involved in the pathogenesis and development of this cancer and how that knowledge is being used to develop therapeutic targeted drugs for the treatment of RCC. The main emphasis of this review article is on the most common genetic alterations found in clear cell RCC and how various drugs are currently targeting such pathways. This article also looks at the role of the immune system in allowing the growth of RCC and how the immune system can be manipulated to reactivate cytotoxic immunity against RCC.
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Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) is a heterogeneous group of cancers arising from renal tubular epithelial cells that encompasses 85% of all primary renal neoplasms. The most common subtypes of RCC are clear cell RCC (ccRCC), papillary RCC, and chromophobe RCC. The remaining 15% of tumors of the kidney consist of transitional cell carcinoma (8%), nephroblastoma or Wilms’ tumor (5–6%), collecting duct tumors (<1%), renal sarcomas (<1%), and renal medullary carcinomas (<1%). The incidence of RCC varies widely in different parts of the world, and the highest incidences are in North America and the Czech Republic. In the US, there are 64,000 new cases of RCC and 14,000 RCC-related deaths each year. Age, race, and gender also play a role in this disease. RCC is more common in males above the age of 60 (median age for RCC is 65), and the highest incidence is in the sixth to eighth decades of life. Within the US, Caucasians, African-Americans, Hispanics, and Native Americans have a higher incidence of RCC as compared with Asian-Americans or Pacific Islanders.

Multiple risk factors for RCC along with their pathophysiologic mechanisms have been described. These include both genetic and acquired risk factors. The two most common genes involved in the pathogenesis of RCC are the Von Hippel–Lindau (VHL) gene and the gene polybromo-1 (PBRM-1) gene. These will be discussed separately in this article. The most common acquired risk factors for RCC are smoking, hypertension, obesity, chronic analgesic use, and diabetes.

Genetic alterations in renal cell carcinoma

The most common genetic alteration associated with the development of ccRCC is loss of the short arm of chromosome 3 (loss of 3p). This alteration is seen in approximately 95% of cases of ccRCC. The most common genes involved in the pathogenesis of ccRCC include VHL, PBRM-1, SETD2, BAP-1, KDM5C, and MTO1. Other genetic alterations include gain of 5q (69%), partial loss of 14q (42%), 7q gain (20%), 8p deletion (32%), and 9p loss (29%).

Von Hippel–Lindau gene

VHL is a tumor suppressor gene that plays a pivotal role in the development of ccRCC. VHL can be altered and transmitted in an autosomal dominant fashion (VHL disease) or in a sporadic manner. Although inherited VHL disease is rare, understanding the molecular basis of VHL disease and the identification of the VHL suppressor gene have provided great insight into the pathogenesis of sporadic disease. It is estimated that 50–60% of patients with sporadic ccRCC have an abnormality of the VHL gene. Other, more sophisticated studies have suggested that VHL gene alterations through genetic and epigenetic mechanisms can be found in up to 90% of ccRCC cases.

A “two-hit” hypothesis has been described and validated in patients with VHL disease-related development of RCC (and other tumors). Based on this hypothesis, individuals with VHL disease are born with one inactivated copy of the VHL gene in all cells while the other copy of the gene is normal. For tumorigenesis to take place, there must be a loss of function of the second gene copy as well. This “second hit” usually takes place as a result of somatic mutation or deletion of the allele. In patients with sporadic RCC, inactivation of both VHL alleles usually takes place via somatic mutations.

The product of the VHL gene is a protein called pVHL, which acts as a tumor suppressor protein. VHL protein forms complexes with several other proteins in the cell, including elongin B, elongin C, and cellulin 2. The resulting complex (called the VBC complex) helps in the proteasomal degradation of several intracellular proteins. One of the major functions of the VHL gene product is regulating the levels of several intracellular proteins, including hypoxia-inducible factor 1 alpha and 2 alpha (HIF1A and HIF2A). These intracellular proteins, when bound with each other, serve as transcription factors by binding to the DNA, resulting in upregulation of messenger RNA (mRNA) that codes for several growth factors, including vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), platelet-derived growth factor beta (PDGFB), and transforming growth factor alpha (TGFA). These growth factors play a vital role in the development of highly vascular tumors (such as ccRCC) associated with VHL gene alterations.

Under normal oxygen tension, HIF1A and HIF2A are hydroxylated on proline residues and bind the pVHL, resulting in polyubiquitination of HIFA, which targets it for proteosomal degradation (Figure 1). Under conditions of hypoxemia or in the absence of pVHL, hydroxylation of HIF1A and HIF2A does not occur and HIFA accumulates in the cell and dimerizes with hypoxia-inducible factor beta (HIFB). The HIF–HIFB complex then migrates to the nucleus and acts as a transcription factor, resulting in increased mRNA levels coding for VEGF, PDGFB, TGFA, erythropoietin, and extracellular matrix proteins.

From a therapeutic standpoint, inhibitors of VEGF are typically used as first-line therapy for the treatment of metastatic ccRCC. Sunitinib and pazopanib are two commonly used tyrosine kinase inhibitors that target and block vascular epidermal growth factor receptor (VEGFR). Axitinib, cabozantinib, lenvatinib, and sorafenib are other tyrosine kinase inhibitors that block VEGFR. Bevacizumab, a monoclonal antibody that directly targets VEGF, is also a treatment option for ccRCC. In addition, drugs that block HIFA would theoretically block this pathway, resulting in a decrease in the production of angiogenic factors (such as VEGF and PDGFB) and a decrease in tumor growth. Selective HIF2 antagonists PT2399, PT2385, and PT2977 are under investigation. PT2399 has been shown to cause regression in preclinical models (cell line and tumorgraft/patient-derived xenograft) of pVHL-defective ccRCC. PT2385 has been evaluated as monotherapy in a phase 1 study in patients with metastatic ccRCC and has been shown to have a favorable safety profile and early evidence of efficacy. PT2977, a more potent HIF2a antagonist, is being investigated in phase 1 clinical trials for the treatment of solid tumors and ccRCC and in a phase 2 clinical trial for the treatment of patients with VHL disease.
Protein polybromo-1 gene

PBRM-1 is also a tumor suppressor gene that plays an important role in the pathogenesis of ccRCC. It encodes a protein called BAF180, which is a subunit of the nucleosome remodeling complex. The nucleosome remodeling complex is a complex group of proteins that control the expression of certain genes by accessing the condensed part of the DNA. It is unclear how BAF180 acts as a tumor suppressor protein; however, several animal studies have shown that it plays a role in controlling the cell cycle and replicative senescence. Re-introduction of PBRM-1 in PBRM-1-deficient cell lines typically produces cell cycle arrest. Thus, a mutated PBRM-1 gene would result in an abnormal/malfunctioning BAF180, which would result in unchecked cell growth and subsequent tumorigenesis.

BRCA1-associated protein-1

BRCA1-associated protein-1 (BAP-1) is a tumor suppressor gene located on 3p. This gene is mutated in approximately 15% of ccRCC cases. BAP-1-mutated tumors tend to be more aggressive and are generally related to a worse prognosis. Like other tumor suppressor genes, BAP-1 plays a role in the suppression of cell proliferation. It does so by interacting with a transcription protein called host cell factor-1 (HCF-1). HCF-1 in turn binds with several transcription factors, resulting in the inhibition of cell proliferation. A mutated BAP-1 protein is unable to interact with HCF-1; as a result, the inhibitory effects of HCF-1 on cell proliferation are lost.

The mTOR pathway

The mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) is a protein kinase that is encoded by the MTOR gene. It plays an important role in the regulation of the cell cycle and has been a therapeutic target of interest in many other cancers as well. The mTOR–PI3K pathway starts with the binding of several growth factors to the cell surface, resulting in the activation of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) protein. Activated PI3K in turn...
Figure 2. The mTOR–PI3K pathway. Binding of cell surface growth factors activates phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K) protein, which in turn activates mTOR, creating mTOR complexes 1 and 2 (mTORC1 and mTORC2). Resulting in the phosphorylation of P70S6K and 4E-BP1/eIF4E and increased production of angiogenic proteins such as VEGF, PDGF, and TGFβ, leading to cell growth and tumor progression.

- 4E-BP1, 4E-binding protein 1; eIF-4E, eukaryotic initiation factor-4E; HIF, hypoxia-inducible factor; HIFA, hypoxia-inducible factor alpha; MMP, matrix metalloproteinase; mRNA, messenger RNA; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; PDGFB, platelet-derived growth factor beta; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase; PKB, protein kinase B; TGFA, transforming growth factor alpha; VEGF, vascular endothelial growth factor.
activates mTOR, creating mTOR complexes 1 and 2 (mTORC1 and mTORC2), which lead to the phosphorylation of P70S6K and 4E-BP1/eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4E (4E-BP1/ eIF4E). The phosphorylated P70S6K migrates to the nucleus and initiates the transcription of mRNA coding for the HIF1A protein, which, as mentioned above, has the ability to increase the production of angiogenic proteins such as VEGF, PDGF, and TGFβ. Phosphorylation of the translational regulator eIF4E-binding protein 1 (4E-BP1) also mediates the effects of oncogenic Akt signaling on mRNA translation, cell growth, and tumor progression.

Everolimus and temsirolimus are mTOR inhibitors that are approved for the treatment of metastatic ccRCC. Additionally, the combination of lenvatinib (VEGFR inhibitor) and everolimus (mTOR inhibitor) has been approved for the treatment of metastatic RCC in the second- and subsequent-line setting.

The role of the immune system in renal cell carcinoma
The cytotoxic component of the immune system plays a vital role in the recognition and subsequent rejection of several different types of cancer, including RCC. Unfortunately, this innate system is not always adequate in attacking and eliminating cancer. In order to survive the immune response, the cancer cells develop certain proteins on their cell surface, which help them fight off the cytotoxic T cells by certain pathways. One such pathway is the programmed death-1 (PD-1) pathway.

Under normal circumstances, the cytotoxic immune system is designed to recognize the “foreign antigens” present on the surface of cancer cells. This should lead to the activation of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, resulting in the release of cytokines such as interferons, interleukin-2, and tumor necrosis factor. These cytokines are directly responsible for the death of cancer cells. From a therapeutic standpoint, both interferon-alpha and interleukin-2 have historically been used for the treatment of ccRCC, although their use has diminished with the advent of effective and better-tolerated alternatives. Immune T-cell infiltration is a prevalent characteristic of ccRCCs and represents an important target for immune checkpoint inhibitor therapy.

The programmed death-1 receptor pathway
The PD-1 receptor is a cell membrane protein present on the surface of cytotoxic T lymphocytes (CD8 T cells). The proteins that activate PD-1 are also cell surface proteins called programmed death ligand-1 and -2 (PD-L1 and PD-L2). PD-L1 is present on the surface of antigen-presenting cells and certain malignant cells, including RCC cells. The major function of PD-1, after being activated by binding PD-L1, is to suppress the cytotoxic immune system by inducing apoptosis of the cytotoxic T lymphocyte. This normally prevents an uncontrolled and unchecked autoimmune response when the body is exposed to a foreign antigen (such as a virus or bacterium). Thus, PD-1 is an “anti-immune” protein, the stimulation of which suppresses the immune system and decreases the number of cytotoxic T cells attacking foreign antigens and cancer cells (Figure 3).

Several cancers, including ccRCC, express PD-L1 on their surface. The expression of PD-L1 allows these cancers to escape the cytotoxic immune response by inducing the apoptosis of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. This discovery has led to the development of antibodies that target and block PD-1 and PD-L1. The PD-1-blocking antibodies currently available are nivolumab and pembrolizumab. Nivolumab is currently approved for the treatment of metastatic ccRCC in the second-line setting. Atezolizumab and avelumab are PD-L1-blocking monoclonal antibodies that are also under investigation for metastatic ccRCC.

CTLA-4 is a protein receptor present on the surface of cytotoxic T lymphocytes, and the primary function is downregulation of the immune system (similar to the PD-1/PD-L1 pathway) but by a different mechanism. An inhibitor of this receptor, called ipilimumab, in combination with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibitors, is under investigation and has shown promising results for the treatment of metastatic RCC.

OX-40 is another “pro-inflammatory” protein present on the surface of cytotoxic T lymphocytes. The stimulation of this receptor results in the activation of the immune system (similar to that seen with PD-1/PD-L1 inhibition). OX-40 agonists in combination with PD-1 inhibitors are under investigation for the treatment of RCC.

Metabolic pathways and targets in renal cell carcinoma
Altered metabolic pathways in cancer cells play a key role in their survival. These pathways also help cancer cells escape different levels of stress imposed on them by the immune system as well as various drugs. Targeting various metabolic pathways in RCC is an active area of research in oncology.

The glutaminase pathway
Glutamine is an important amino acid in many cancer cells (including ccRCC cells) and is indirectly required by these cells for the synthesis of DNA. Cancer cells metabolize glutamine differently than do normal cells and require an enzyme called glutaminase. This enzyme converts glutamine to glutamate, which in turn increases the production of aspartate through the Krebs cycle. Aspartate plays a key role in the synthesis of pyrimidines in these cells and is required for maturation. In VHL-mutated/deficient cells, glutamine is also required for the synthesis of essential lipids, citrate, and glutathione, the latter of which is the key anti-oxidant molecule generated by cells to combat oxidative stress. Therefore, inhibition of this pathway results in both cell cycle arrest (by decreasing pyrimidine synthesis required for DNA formation) and an inability of these cells to fight oxidative stress. These findings have led to the development of drugs targeting this pathway, which are under investigation. For example, CB-839 is a glutaminase inhibitor that is being studied in clinical trials for several solid tumors, including ccRCC.

Other metabolic pathways and targets
Glucose metabolism in cancer cells is also an area of clinical interest in this field. GLUT-1 is a glucose transporter that is
Figure 3. The programmed death-1 receptor pathway. Recognition of foreign or tumor antigens normally results in the activation of CD8 T cells, leading to the killing of viruses or bacteria or the lysis of tumor cells. The programmed death-1 (PD-1) receptor is a cell membrane protein present on the surface of CD8 T cells, which, upon interacting with PD-L1 and PD-L2 on the surface of tumor cells, results in the suppression of the cytotoxic immune response, leading to tumor escape. IFN, interferon; IL-2, interleukin-2; MHC, major histocompatibility complex; PD-1, programmed death-1; PD-L1, programmed death ligand-1; TCR, T-cell receptor.
responsible for the uptake of glucose by RCC cells. Several drugs blocking this transporter have been shown to be active in RCC\textsuperscript{14,41}. Studies have demonstrated that, unlike in normal cells, glycolysis is the major source of glucose in cancer cells, even in the presence of sufficient oxygen (aerobic glycolysis)\textsuperscript{42}. As a result, several inhibitors of glycolytic enzymes have been investigated because of their activity in cancer cells, including RCC cells\textsuperscript{43,44}. Similarly, pyruvate dehydrogenase inhibitors have shown activity in preclinical RCC xenografts\textsuperscript{45,46}.

### Other novel approaches and combinations

Despite our extensive knowledge and understanding of the biology of this cancer, metastatic RCC continues to lead to a high number of deaths in the modern era. With the discovery of pathways outlined in this article, many research studies are now geared toward combining drugs that target different pathways in ccrCC. Combination studies in metastatic ccrCC that pair VEGF inhibition and checkpoint inhibition include bevacizumab plus atezolizumab, axitinib plus pembrolizumab, and nivolumab plus ipilimumab plus caboziinantib. There are also studies of combination immunotherapies targeting PD-1 and PD-L1 or targeting PD-L1 and OX40.

In addition, the glutaminase inhibitor CB-839 is being investigated in combination with anti-PD-1 antibody nivolumab as well as with the mTOR inhibitor everolimus and the VEGFR/MET inhibitor caboziinantib in separate clinical trials.

A better understanding of the biology and pathogenesis of ccrCC has revolutionized the treatment approach. Novel targets and combination strategies are under investigation with the hope of achieving longer and more durable responses and improving survival.

In summary, with a greater understanding of the pathogenesis of RCC, many therapeutic advances for metastatic disease have been introduced in the last decade. Such progress has led to improved survival for patients with advanced RCC. Much of the recent therapeutic development has focused on inhibition of the VEGFR and the mTOR pathways. Current and ongoing areas of research include the additional development of new immunotherapy targets, metabolic targeting, and combinational approaches to treat the disease.
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