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Abstract

Background: There have been claims amongst nutrition stakeholders in Tanzania that the food basket regions, are the regions most affected by stunting among children. However, this study could not find evidence that combines food production and stunting levels, to substantiate this claim. Therefore, this study aims to compare data on stunting, food production and consumption within administrative regions of the Tanzania mainland.


Results: The study showed that there is a positive relationship between the prevalence of stunting and food production (r = 0.43, p = 0.03), while there is a negative relationship between stunting and the level of both the average monthly household consumption expenditure (r = -0.48, p = 0.01) and average monthly household food consumption expenditure (r = -0.509, p = 0.01). It was further found that some regions which have higher levels of stunting such as Njombe, have the lowest level of basic need poverty.

Conclusion: The study found a positive relationship between food production and the prevalence of stunting using data across regions in mainland Tanzania. This is an indication that regional food security may not entail nutrition security, hence a call for more advocacy on nutrition-sensitive agriculture.
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Introduction

Stunting, defined as being too short for one’s age, is among the important indicators to track children’s malnutrition.1 Globally, the number of stunted children is decreasing, however, its prevalence level is still unacceptable. In the past 18 years, the number of stunted children globally, decreased by 49.2 million. It has been reported that in 2018, over 149 million (21.9%) children were estimated to have stunted growth globally, compared to 198.2 million children in 2000.2 Most of the stunted children live in developing countries, especially in Asia and Africa with 55% and 39% of children suffering from stunting, respectively. Africa is the only region where the number of cases is increasing; rising from 50.3 million in 2000 to 58.8 million in 2018 with disparities existing at the sub-national level.2,3

The prevalence of stunting in Tanzania decreased by 18% from the 1990s, from 50% of children to 32% in 2018.4 This rapid change was due to the different strategies taken by the government, in collaboration with development partners, including implementation of the National Nutrition Strategy 2011/2012 to 2015/20165 and the National Multi-Sectoral Nutrition Action Plan 2016 – 2021.6 However, this level is still high as per the World Health Organization (WHO) recommended thresholds (>30%). WHO defines levels of stunting in four levels: low (<20%); Medium (20 – 29%), High (30 – 39%) and very high (>40).7,8 Despite the decrease in the prevalence level, the number of stunted children is increasing. It increased from 2.5 million in 2005 to 3 million in 2018.7 The disparity exists across regions in Tanzania Mainland. Out of 26 regions, 15 regions have a stunting prevalence of above 30%, among them, six regions have above 40%. The regions with the highest stunting levels are Njombe (53%), Rukwa (47.9%), Iringa (47.1%), Songwe (43.3), Kigoma (42.2) and Ruvuma 41%.9

The reviewed literature has shown that under-five stunting can be explained by different immediate and intermediate factors. Some of the factors include income levels, family size, education level of the mother, sex of the baby, age of the baby, feeding practices, food preparations, overall health status, types of occupation of the mother, weight of the baby and age of the mother at birth.9-12 The underlying factors of stunting are government policies, pricing of food, access to social services, farming practices and economic status of the household.6 Household poverty level and other factors related to poverty are also cited as determinates of stunting at household levels.11,12,14

In Tanzania, some regions that are leading in food production and with a small prevalence of poverty, are also leading in the prevalence of stunting. For example, Njombe has the highest level of stunting (53%) and yet, it is the region with the third lowest rate of poverty (13.2%), just above are Dar es Salaam and Kilimanjaro with 8% and 10% levels respectively.5,10 Similarly, in 2018, Ruvuma was the highest region in terms of food production but it had a stunning level of 41%. There has been a debate amongst nutrition stakeholders (those working in the government, United Nations Agencies and donor countries supporting nutrition interventions, academia and non-governmental organization in nutrition), on the controversy on regions with both high food production and stunting levels. However, to the best of our knowledge, we have not found previous research conducted to investigate this. Therefore, this study aims to compare data on stunting, food production and consumption across administrative regions of Tanzania.

Methods

Study design and data source

This is an ecological study design using secondary data compiled from three sources: Tanzania Household Budget Survey (HBS) 2017/2018,15 Tanzania National Nutrition Survey (TNNS) 20184 and Agriculture Statistics for Food Security report 2018/2019.16 Both HBS and TNNS are national-wise cross-sectional data organized by the Ministry of Finance and Planning and Ministry of Health respectively, while Agriculture Statistics for Food Security report 2018/2019 was prepared by the Ministry of Agriculture.

Study setting

Data for this study was sourced from 26 Tanzania mainland administrative regions. The regions were chosen because currently, aggregated data are representative at the regional level. Similarly, regions were chosen to determine whether food production could be a determinant of stunting at the regional level and hence answering the paradox.

A sampling of the Household Budget Survey and Tanzania National Nutrition Survey

The 2017/18 HBS had a sample of 9,552 individuals, which allowed disintegration of the results at the regional level. The data set adopted a two-stage cluster sample design. The first stage involved the selection of enumeration areas, Primary Sampling Units, (PSUs) from the 2012 Population and Housing Census Frame.15 The second stage involved systematic sampling of households from the updated PSUs list. All household members, regardless of their age, who were the usual members of the selected households and all the visitors who were present in the household on the night before the survey, were eligible.
TNNS used two-stage cluster sampling using Probability Proportional to Size (PPS), a representative at the regional and national level. The first stage sample of clusters was drawn independently for each domain. The second stage of sampling consisted of selecting households within each selected cluster, by using a systematic random selection procedure. Data were collected from the sample of 17,524 children aged 0-59 months and 9,426 women aged 15-49 years old.

**Variables**

The outcome variable of this study is the regional prevalence of stunting. Children were reported to be stunted if their height-for-age is more than two standard deviations below the World Health Organization’s Child Growth Standards median. The exposure variables were the regional food production (cereal and non-cereals food crops in metric tons), the proportion of basic need poverty, monthly household average consumption expenditure and average monthly household food consumption expenditure.

**Data management and analysis**

Data were cleaned and analyzed using Microsoft Excel and STATA version 15. Mean and standard divisions were used to summarize the numerical variables. Correlation coefficients and scatter plots were used to determine the linear relationship between outcome (regional prevalence of stunting) and exposure variables (food production, average monthly food consumption expenditure, average household monthly consumption expenditure and proportion of regional basic need poverty). Findings were presented using tables, figures and narrations. The significance level was set at 5%.

**Results**

**Summary statistics of the variables**

The overall prevalence of stunting for the Tanzania mainland is 33.5%, ranging from 20% in Kilimanjaro to 53.6% in the Njombe region. Basic need poverty stands at 27.5%, ranging from 8% in Dar es Salaam to 45% in the Rukwa region. On the other hand, the average food production is 977,544.80 Metric tons per year and the mean average monthly household consumption expenditure in Tanzania shilling is 399,439 ranging from 268,041 in Rukwa Region to 720,946 in Dar es Salaam and the mean average monthly household food consumption expenditure is 47,986 ranging from 34,354 in Kigoma to 70,966 in Dar es Salaam (see Table 1).

**Comparison of basic needs poverty, food production and average monthly household consumption among regions with highest prevalence of stunting**

Four regions in Tanzania that have stunting above 40% are in the top six regions that produce the highest levels of food in the country. The region which is the first in food production in the country being among them; Rukwa and Kigoma are only two regions with the highest levels of poverty which have also higher levels of stunting. However, there was no region with the highest levels of household monthly consumption expenditure in the top regions with highest levels of stunting. Furthermore, half of the regions with the highest monthly household consumption expenditure have the lowest prevalence of stunting. It is only Lindi that has the lowest level of stunting and the lowest level of monthly household consumption expenditure.

**Stunting and food production**

There is a significant positive linear relationship between the prevalence of stunting and the level of food production ($r = 0.43, p = 0.03$) i.e. the higher the level of food production the higher is the prevalence of stunting (Figure 1).

**Stunting and average monthly household consumption Expenditure**

The result indicated that there is a significant negative relationship between the prevalence of stunting and the average monthly consumption expenditure levels per household per month ($r = -0.48, p = 0.01$) i.e. the higher the average monthly household consumption expenditure, the higher is the prevalence of stunting (see Figure 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Summary statistics of the variables (n = 26).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Variable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stunting (overall prevalence)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food production (metric tons)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basic need poverty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly household consumption expenditure (TShs)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average monthly household food consumption expenditure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Stunting and average monthly household food consumption expenditure

It was observed that there is a negative relationship between average monthly household food consumption expenditure and prevalence of stunting ($r = -0.509$, $p = 0.01$) (see Figure 3).

Relationship Between Stunting and Basic Need Poverty

The relationship between stunting and basic needs poverty indicated that Njombe, which is the region with the highest level of stunting in the country (53%), is the region with the third lowest level of poverty (13.2%), next to Kilimanjaro (8%) and Dar es Salaam (10.5%). The prevalence of basic need poverty in Njombe, Iringa and Songwe, with stunting levels above 40%, is lower compared to other regions. However, the level of poverty and stunting in Rukwaa and Geita do not show notable differences (see Figure 4). This trend is also observed for the regions with the lowest levels of stunting which are Kilimanjaro, Dar es Salama and Morogoro (Figure 5).

Discussion

Findings show that regions with the highest food production had a high prevalence of stunting. This result concurs with the study done in Bukombe, Tanzania, which found that children from very low income households were more likely to be stunted compared to other occupations.1 This might be true because food-producing regions entail a great deal work, often done by mothers in their small scale farms, and hence little time is given for them to take care of and feed, their

---

**Figure 1.** Prevalence of stunting and food production in mainland regions of Tanzania.

**Figure 2.** Prevalence of stunting by average monthly household consumption expenditure in mainland regions of Tanzania.

Stunting and average monthly household food consumption expenditure

It was observed that there is a negative relationship between average monthly household food consumption expenditure and prevalence of stunting ($r = -0.509$, $p = 0.01$) (see Figure 3).

Relationship Between Stunting and Basic Need Poverty

The relationship between stunting and basic needs poverty indicated that Njombe, which is the region with the highest level of stunting in the country (53%), is the region with the third lowest level of poverty (13.2%), next to Kilimanjaro (8%) and Dar es Salaam (10.5%). The prevalence of basic need poverty in Njombe, Iringa and Songwe, with stunting levels above 40%, is lower compared to other regions. However, the level of poverty and stunting in Rukwaa and Geita do not show notable differences (see Figure 4). This trend is also observed for the regions with the lowest levels of stunting which are Kilimanjaro, Dar es Salama and Morogoro (Figure 5).

Discussion

Findings show that regions with the highest food production had a high prevalence of stunting. This result concurs with the study done in Bukombe, Tanzania, which found that children from very low income households were more likely to be stunted compared to other occupations.1 This might be true because food-producing regions entail a great deal work, often done by mothers in their small scale farms, and hence little time is given for them to take care of and feed, their
children. This indicates that food security may not entail nutrition security. This may mean that very low income households spend the majority of their time on the farm, producing and consuming one type of food and are thus severely affected by seasonal household food security. Low income households may be food secure in the harvest season and food insecure in other seasons of the year while other occupations’ household may buy their food and hence have more food diversity.\textsuperscript{17,18} However, these findings contrast other studies that have found households with food insecurity and small
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\caption{Stunting and average monthly household food consumption expenditure.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Stunting prevalence and basic need poverty among eight regions with highest prevalence of stunting in Tanzania Mainland.}
\end{figure}
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\caption{Comparison of prevalence of stunting and basic need poverty in eight regions with lowest levels of basic need poverty.}
\end{figure}

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Prevalence of Stunting</th>
<th>Prevalence of Basic Needs Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Njombe</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rukwa</td>
<td>47.9</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iringa</td>
<td>47.1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Songwe</td>
<td>43.3</td>
<td>20.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kigoma</td>
<td>42.3</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruvuma</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>30.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kagera</td>
<td>39.8</td>
<td>31.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geita</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>37.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Prevalence of Stunting</th>
<th>Prevalence of Basic Needs Poverty</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kilimanjaro</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dar es Salaam</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindi</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pwani</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>27.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arusha</td>
<td>25.2</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tabora</td>
<td>25.8</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mwanza</td>
<td>26.2</td>
<td>34.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morogoro</td>
<td>26.4</td>
<td>19.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cultivation size, were more affected by stunting, compared to those who are food secure and those with large cultivated areas.9,19

Our study found that some regions like Njombe, which are among the regions with the lowest levels of poverty, have higher levels of stunting. The findings are contrary to several studies done on the determinants of stunting in developing countries across the globe, which shows that poverty is positively associated with stunting.9–11,20 The reason for this might be that mothers in regions like Njombe, are involved in several economic activities to earn their income.17 Due to this, they may have limited time with their children.17,18 In addition, labour laws in Tanzania, gives women only about three months’ maternity leave.21 Therefore, due to mothers works in the farms or as employee in the government or private institutions they do not have enough time to breastfed their children, take them to hospitals and feed them accordingly.17,18

In addition, the results of the study show that regions with higher monthly household consumption expenditure, had lower levels of stunting. This means that higher consumption means increased purchasing of a variety of foods, which reduces malnutrition. This finding concurs with a study done in Tanzania, which showed that families which were not dependent on farming their own food, bought a variety of food for their families, unlike those which produce their food.17

Conclusion and Recommendations

There has been the claim that higher food production regions in Tanzania paradoxically have a higher prevalence of stunting. However, no study was previously found to substantiate this at a national level. This study has found that the more the region produces food, the more likely it is to have higher levels of stunting. In addition, it has found that some regions with the lowest levels of poverty have higher levels of stunting. However, regions with higher monthly household consumption expenditure do not have higher levels of stunting. Therefore, this indicates that food production and a lower level of poverty, might not lead to nutrition security. This study provides a picture of the relationship between food production; consumption, poverty and stunting. However, it is based on secondary data aggregated from different sources. Therefore, it provides the foundation for further studies in this area. Similarly, this information can enlighten policymakers on rethinking how to make agriculture nutrition-sensitive, so that Tanzania can attain the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) goal of ending malnutrition in all its forms.22

Limitations of the study

This study faced a few limitations, one of them being that the study used secondary data of which some of the variables were missing, limiting our analysis. Furthermore, data were aggregated at the regional level rather than individual level, which limited the interpretation of factors associated with malnutrition.

Data availability

Underlying data

Dryad: The Paradox of Food Production, Consumption, Poverty and Malnutrition in Tanzania

https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.gxd2547n9

This project contains the following underlying data:

- Data_for_Regional_Stunting__Food_Production__Consumption_and_Poverty_Levels_-__Tanzania.xls

Data are available under the terms of the Creative Commons Zero “No rights reserved” data waiver (CC0 1.0 Public domain dedication).
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